

New Orleans Baptist Theological Seminary
Summary of Juried Reviews

Degree Program: Professional Doctoral Programs (DMin & DEdMin degrees)

Date: 5/23/2019

Faculty Jury: Dr. Ogea,
Dr. Roudkovski,
Dr. Stone, Dr. Lemke, Dr. Edens

The following questions should be answered by each jury after completing your rubrics. These answers will guide the Academic Dean's Council as it considers what curricular improvements may need to be proposed to the faculty.

1. If not obvious from the rubric or other juries, please note specific **strengths to be sustained** in the degree program as a whole (and/or curriculum) found by the jury related to SLOs.
 - Balance of theory and practice
 - Support in the writing phase and continued excellence in degree completion
 - Involvement of trustee-elected faculty in teaching of workshops and seminars
 - Ability to maintain ministry focus while being engaged in quality educational experiences

2. If not obvious from the rubric or other juries, please note specific **weaknesses to be improved** in the degree program as a whole (and/or curriculum) found by the jury related to SLOs.
 - Continued emphasis of connecting and improving faculty mentor involvement and understanding of the difference between ProDoc and ReDoc
 - Students not getting enough feedback re: seminar papers

3. Please note any **recommended or proposed curricular improvements** needed related to SLOs.
 - Use peer assessment of papers style & content
 - Use action plans from assessment rubrics
 - Use videos in Program Overview Workshop
 - Use of Writing Center in grading seminar papers

4. Please note any **recommendations or improvements for the process.** (Revision of rubric, reconsideration of SLOs, reconsideration of artifact used, etc.)
 - Highlights of ProDoc process in Faculty Meeting